Baby Boomers Growing Up

JUVENILE DELINQUENCY COMPLAINTS FALL TO TEN-YEAR LOW

Despite national concern about rising juvenile crime, the number of juvenile delinquency complaints being filed with the Idaho courts has been declining for the last several years. In 1984, delinquency complaints (technically called "Youth Rehabilitation Act petitions") fell to their lowest point in a decade, and the reason may have more to do with an aging of the nation's juvenile population than with law enforcement.

The 1984 total of 5,066 new YRA petitions is 12.7% less than the 5,804 petitions filed in 1983. Since 1980, there has been a 33% decline in the number of delinquency complaints filed in the state.

To the extent to which juvenile crime is influenced by law enforcement, an additional factor in the drop of delinquency complaints may be the state's "get tough" attitude toward juvenile crime. In recent years the Idaho legislature has passed laws lowering the age at which a juvenile may be prosecuted as an adult for serious and violent offenses, putting a higher premium on youthful criminality.

YOUTH REHABILITATION ACT PETITIONS





IDAHO POPULATION
15-24 AGE GROUP

* PROJECTED 6-14 AGE GROUP (1980 CENSUS)

Baby Boom Aging

Since the Second World War, the nation has experienced cyclical population increases from the "baby boom," which reached its peak of 4.3 million babies in 1957. By 1984, the children of the baby boom generation now are between 20 and 38 years old, and moving out of the high-crime age profile. Mirroring national trends, Idaho's

IDAHO STATE LAW LIBRARY

Providing Services to Idaho Judges, Lawyers, and the Public Since 1865

LEXIS COMPUTER — ASSISTED LEGAL RESEARCH
National databases only a phone call away. Call our LEXIS Operator at 334-2477 if you cannot locate a case or lack a citation. Searches for Idaho cases (from 1945 to date) by subject or special words.

PHOTOCOPY
Come in, call, or write and give our library staff your citations to receive photocopied materials.

RESEARCH
Reference sources, research assistance and techniques.

NO QUESTION TOO LARGE OR TOO SMALL,

REFERENCE
Contact the Law Library for:
Pending legislation, state or federal
U.S. Dept. of Justice publications
Congressional Records, hearings, reports, and documents
Recent court decisions from U.S. Law Week, Criminal Law Reporter, and Family Law Reporter.

LIBRARY CONSULTATION
The State Law Librarian is available to Idaho Judges for consultation about the maintenance and improvement of county law libraries.

Idaho State Law Library
Supreme Court Building
451 West State Street
Boise, Idaho 83720
(208) 334-3316



DRUG CASES DROP 8.9%

Amid continued law enforcement claims of increases in the flow of illegal drugs into Idaho, court case filings fail to substantiate any significant increased prosecution for illegal drugs.

Drug-related complaints filed in the state courts in 1984 dropped 8.9% from the 1983 level. There were 1,607 new drug abuse complaints filed in 1984, compared with the 1983 total of 1,764 complaints. This level continues a generally lower trend in drug-related complaints in recent years, compared with complaint levels in the mid-1970's.

DRUG ABUSE COMPLAINTS



Perhaps reflecting diversion efforts, petitions against juveniles for drug abuse charges dwindled to only 9 statewide, down from 16 petitions in 1983. Petitions against juveniles represented less than 1% of the total drug abuse case filings in Idaho. Magistrate judges disposed of 1,662 adult drug complaints and 10 juvenile drug complaints in 1984, while district judges disposed of a total of 326 felony drug cases.

IDAHO RULES OF EVIDENCE WITH COMMITTEE COMMENTARY AVAILABLE

On January 8, 1985 the Idaho Supreme Court entered its Order adopting and implementing the Idaho Rules of Evidence effective July 1, 1985. The Report of the Evidence Committee containing the Idaho Rules of Evidence and the Committee Comments explaining the purpose and intended application of each rule and how it affects existing Idaho law has been revised to reflect all changes made in the rules by the Court.

Attorneys should begin evaluating cases that will be in trial on or after July 1 under the new rules. All cases in trial on July 1 and thereafter are governed by the new rules unless the trial court permits an exception pursuant to Rule 1103.

The new Rules with commentary, in loose-leaf format, are available from the Idaho State Bar office for $60.00. To order, send your check made payable to the Idaho State Bar, to:

Evidence Rules
Idaho State Bar
P.O. Box 895
Boise, ID 83701

Seminars sponsored by the Idaho Law Foundation to present the new rules will be held the first three week-ends in June: June 7-8 - Moscow; June 14-15 - Pocatello; June 21-22 - Boise. Look for registration information in your mail.

COURTS BEGIN REVAMPING JURY PRACTICES

In 1984 the Idaho Supreme Court launched a two-year project to assess jury practices in Idaho's state courts and streamline jury management systems where necessary.

Two Supreme Court committees were appointed to spearhead the project--a Jury Usage and Management Committee and a Special Committee on Selection of a Particular Jury. The Jury Usage and Management Committee, comprised of select court management professionals, will conduct a comprehensive review of jury management systems in Idaho including compilation of jury source lists; policies for exemption, excuse and deferral of jury service; jury system administration; jury orientation; and compensation of jurors. The Special Committee on Selection of a Particular Jury (whose members include judges and lawyers) will analyze voir dire practices, peremptory challenges, challenges for cause, and jury sequestration. As part of their analyses, both committees will compare Idaho statutes, rules and practices with national jury usage and management standards.

The 1984 activities of the Jury Usage and Management Committee included developing an informational jury brochure and making preliminary recommendations for a uniform statewide juror orientation videotape. The Special Committee on Selection of a Particular Jury will begin its deliberations in 1985.

SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON SELECTION OF A PARTICULAR JURY

Hon. Dar Cogswell, Chairman, District Judge
Hon. John Maynard, Administrative Judge
Hon. Edward Lodge, Administrative Judge
Hon. J. Ray Durtschi, District Judge
Hon. G.D. Carey, Magistrate Judge
Hon. George Granata, District Judge
Hon. Peter McDermott, District Judge
Hon. H. Reynold George, Administrative Judge
Hon. Mike Kennedy, Magistrate Judge
Nicholas Lamanna, Attorney
Richard Mellon, Attorney
Paul Street, Attorney
Keith Roark, Prosecutor
Galen Box, Attorney
Kit Furey, Reporter

JURY USE AND MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

Hon. Robert Huntley, Chairman--Supreme Court Justice
Hon. Don Swanstrom, Trial Court Administrator
Hon. John Maynard, Administrative Judge
Hank Boomer, Idaho County Prosecutor
Mary Kautz, Clerk, Washington County
Stephen Bouch, Trial Court Administrator
Carlos Bledsoe, Canyon County Commissioner
Sam Bailey, Ada County Jury Commissioner
Hon. G.D. Carey, Magistrate Judge
Hon. Gerald Schroeder, District Judge
Hon. Daniel Hurlbutt, Acting Trial Court Administrator, District Judge
Hon. William Hart, Magistrate Judge
Jenny Ridinger, Clerk, Lincoln County
Larry Ghan, Clerk, Bannock County
Hon. William Black, Trial Court Administrator, Magistrate Judge
Jean Esplen, Clerk, Bingham County
Stephen Bowen, Deputy Clerk, Bannock County
Kit Furey, Reporter

VICTIMS' RIGHTS MOVEMENT . . .

(Continued from Page 1)

tive action to ensure fair treatment of victims and witnesses involved in criminal justice proceedings.

Committee Findings

The Supreme Court's Committee on Victims' Rights found significant efforts already under way in Idaho to help victims and witnesses. The use by judges of restitution orders to require defendants to reimburse victims is widespread in Idaho, and courts and prosecutors are operating a number of aggressive programs to collect restitution payments on behalf of victims.

The Committee on Victims' Rights nevertheless found a need to formalize and expand restitution in the sentencing process, and to establish a "victims' bill of rights," to provide basic guarantees: to give victims notice about plea bargains, court hearings, and release of defendants; to let victims testify at sentencing and parole hearings; and to minimize contact between testifying witnesses and defendants.

The Committee also found that victims' rights programs were not without problems: allowing a convicted defendant to make restitution to a victim normally is incompatible with society's desire to incarcerate the criminal; and "victim impact statements" are useless at a sentencing hearing if the charge has been reduced through plea bargaining. Also, most defendants don't have funds to reimburse victims, and the perpetrators of the majority of property crimes are never caught.

Final Report

The Committee on Victims' Rights recommended in its final report that criminal justice agencies make a commitment to improve treatment of victims and witnesses, and that legislation be enacted requiring judges to order restitution for victims in all criminal cases. Despite possible due process problems, the Committee recommended a system which would allow docketing of restitution orders as civil judgments to increase the likelihood that victims would be able to use self-help methods to collect restitution. The Committee also recommended statutes to require the Idaho Commission for Pardons and Parole to allow victims, upon request, to make impact statements to the Parole Commission before a defendant is released from custody, and that restitution provisions be made a part of parole agreements.

The Committee further recommended certain statutory rights for victims: expeditious return of property used as evidence; opportunity to address the court at sentencing hearings; and receipt of information about disposition of the victim's case. A final recommendation of the Committee already is being implemented: a seminar for all judges on victim and witness rights issues and victim restitution is being planned by the Supreme Court in 1985.

The Prospect for Change

By the end of 1984, the work of the Supreme Court Committee on Victims' Rights had contributed to a spreading consensus on a need to improve treatment of victims and witnesses. Because of inherent limitations on society's ability to make all victims whole, legislation and programs giving "rights" to victims may promise more than they can deliver. But events in 1984 offer the hope that crime victims, so long neglected in criminal prosecutions, will no longer be forgotten participants.

THE LEXIS COMMITMENT.

In 1973, LEXIS® introduced the first computer-assisted legal research service. And it has been committed to being the best ever since. Over 150,000 legal professionals now swear by LEXIS, making it the most used and most useful service in the field.

Commitment to being complete. LEXIS is the largest, most comprehensive online database of law and legal information in the world. All full text. All continually updated.

Commitment to ease of use. You can now use LEXIS in your office or home on many of the world's most popular personal computers—IBM, AT&T, Apple, Wang, Xerox and many others. We are open over 23 hours a day—more than 16 hours a day on Saturday and Sunday.

Commitment to expansion. We are constantly adding to and perfecting our product. Only LEXIS, for example, gives you NEXIS®, the largest online, full-text database of news, business and general information. Plus EXCHANGE, an in-depth financial database. Plus ECLIPSE, our electronic clipping service.

Commitment to savings. LEXIS is the most cost-effective service of its kind. You can search the largest LEXIS file for only $18, plus connect time—most files for only $9. And searching during off-peak hours is half price. You save time, too. All 50 states or all federal cases, for example, can be searched in a single step.

Commitment to training. LEXIS is supported by a superbly trained staff of over 200 professionals in 33 cities to help users learn applications specific to their practice, sharpen search techniques and save time and money. When you need us we're there.

Commitment to you. LEXIS is dedicated to delivering the quality of legal research you demand—whatever it takes, no matter what your specialty. Find out more. Write Jack W. Simpson, President, Mead Data Central. Dept. IC85, P.O. Box 1830, Dayton, OH 45401.

Or call 1-800-227-4908.

LEXIS

© 1985 Mead Corporation

S2222-1.2-U

The Idaho Courts

1984 Annual Report

APPENDIX

APPENDIX TO THE

IDAHO COURTS ANNUAL REPORT

FOR 1984

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

INTRODUCTION. i

APPELLATE CASELOAD STATISTICAL SUMMARIES:

Caseload Distribution; Combined Caseload Activity. . 1
Supreme Court Caseload Report. 2
Court of Appeals Caseload Report 3

TRIAL COURTS: STATEWIDE SUMMARIES:

District Courts. 4
Magistrate Divisions 5

TRIAL COURTS: DISTRICT SUMMARIES:

District Courts. 6
Magistrate Divisions 13

TRIAL COURTS: CASELOAD TOTALS BY INDIVIDUAL COUNTY
(BY COUNTY NAME WITHIN JUDICIAL DISTRICT)

District Courts. 20
Magistrate Divisions 64

INTRODUCTION

The Idaho courts have long appreciated the importance of statistical data for measuring past and present caseload factors so that an accurate picture of the current situation, historical trends, and future projections can be obtained. In 1973, Idaho's was one of the first state judiciaries to implement a computerized system to collect detailed information on every case, of whatever type, filed in all of its trial courts. The system, called "CLASS" (Caseload Analysis Support System), has been in use continually since 1973 with occasional refinements. Today it stands as one of the best systems of its kind in the nation.

Data are provided by each trial court in the form of daily reports. These undergo scrupulous manual and computerized editing, after which the data are input to the CLASS system on the Supreme Court's computer. From data thus processed, management reports are prepared monthly for the Administrative Office of the Courts, District Administrative Judges, and Trial Court Administrators. The reports are normally in the hands of the recipients within two weeks from the close of each month. The system also produces statistical information used in the annual reports to the Governor and the State Legislature. Information generated by the CLASS system has become an integral part of the planning, budgeting and daily operational processes of the Idaho courts.

This Appendix to the Idaho Courts Annual Report for 1984 consists primarily of a year-end report generated by the CLASS system, with the exception of the appellate caseload information found on the first few pages. These were derived from reports generated by another automated system called "ATS" (Appeal Tracking System), which is also resident on the Supreme Court's computer and which was also developed entirely in-house.

The success of the CLASS system is due in large measure to the cooperation of the trial court judges and their deputy court clerks throughout Idaho. They are its only source of input; and the dedication with which they carry out their CLASS reporting activities is critical to the system's reliability.

The administrative Office of the Courts is pleased to take this opportunity to thank those judges and clerks for their cooperation and hard work.

APPELLATE CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION FROM "UNASSIGNED" POOL - 1984

	PEND. JAN. 1	FILED IN '84	TOTAL	DISTRIBUTION* SUP CT	DISTRIBUTION* CT APPLS	PEND DEC. 31
APPEALS BY TYPE:						
District Court - Civil						
a. Auto Negligence	0	1	1	0	0	1
b. Other Negligence	1	4	5	2	0	3
c. Tax Appeals	8	2	10	8	0	2
d. Domestic Relations	4	20	24	9	4	11
e. YRA/CPA	3	6	9	2	2	5
f. Environment/Zoning	6	5	11	7	1	3
g. All Other Civil	135	213	348	144	64	140
TOTAL DISTRICT COURT - CIVIL	157	251	408	172	71	165
District Court - Criminal						
a. Judgments	76	80	156	41	50	65
b. Post-Conviction Relief	7	7	14	5	5	4
c. Habeas Corpus Appeals	3	8	11	1	4	6
d. Death Penalty Review	1	9	10	5	0	5
e. All Other Criminal	24	48	72	26	16	30
TOTAL DISTRICT COURT - CRIMINAL	111	152	263	78	75	110
Agency Appeals						
a. Industrial Commission	23	44	67	47	0	20
b. Public Utilities Commission	7	4	11	7	0	4
TOTAL AGENCY APPEALS	30	48	78	54	0	24
TOTAL APPEALS:	298	451	749	304	146	299

*: These figures are reflected in the "Added/Transfr" columns of the individual appellate courts' caseload reports found on the two following pages.

NOTE: Newly-filed appeals are classified as "unassigned" until they reach the At Issue stage. At this point, each appeal is either retained by the Supreme Court or assigned to the Court of Appeals. Until they are thus distributed they are considered part of the overall appellate caseload, but are not counted as part of the caseload of either court.

The following chart combines and summarizes, by major case type groups, the caseload activities of all three elements: Unassigned cases, Supreme Court cases, and Court of Appeals cases.

COMBINED CASELOAD ACTIVITY - 1984

CASE TYPE	PENDING JAN. 1st	FILED 1984	DISPOSED	PENDING DEC. 31st	DIFFERENCE IN PENDING SINCE JAN. 1st
CIVIL	443	251	251	443	0
CRIMINAL	227	152	164	215	- 12
AGENCY	72	48	68	52	- 20
TOTAL APPEALS:	742	451	483	710	- 32

SUPREME COURT CASELOAD REPORT - 1984

	Total Pend at BEGIN of Year	Added/ Transfr	Disposed of	Total Pend at END of Year	Difference Pend at Begin & End - Number -
APPEALS BY TYPE:					
District Court - Civil					
a. Auto Negligence	0	0	0	0	0
b. Other Negligence	0	2	0	2	+ 2
c. Tax Appeals	5	8	8	5	0
d. Domestic Relations	6	9	12	3	- 3
e. YRA/CPA	0	2	1	1	+ 1
f. Environment/Zoning	5	7	6	6	+ 1
g. All Other Civil	90	144	127	107	+ 17
TOTAL DISTRICT COURT - CIVIL	106	172	154	124	+ 18
District Court - Criminal					
a. Judgments	13	41	46	8	- 5
b. Post-Conviction Relief	0	5	2	3	+ 3
c. Habeas Corpus Appeals	2	1	3	0	- 2
d. Death Penalty Review	5	5	6	4	- 1
e. All Other Criminal	8	26	29	5	- 3
TOTAL DISTRICT COURT - CRIMINAL	28	78	86	20	- 8
Agency Appeals					
a. Industrial Commission	36	47	58	25	- 11
b. Public Utilities Commission	6	7	10	3	- 3
TOTAL AGENCY APPEALS	42	54	68	28	- 14
TOTAL APPEALS:	176	304	308	172	- 4
MOTIONS TO APPEAL BY CERTIFICATION:	5	19	18	6	+ 1
PETITIONS AND MOTIONS					
Extensions of Time		614	619		
Motions to Dismiss		163	165		
Petition for Rehearing		64	60		
Petition for Review		41	37		
Other		594	570		
TOTAL PETITIONS AND MOTIONS		1,476	1,451		
ORIGINAL ACTIONS					
Mandamus	2	6	8	0	- 2
Prohibition	3	7	10	0	- 3
Habeas Corpus	2	5	6	1	- 1
Other	1	11	9	3	+ 2
TOTAL ORIGINAL ACTIONS	8	29	33	4	- 4
DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS					
Suspensions or Disbarments	5	7	7	5	0
Other	2	9	4	7	+ 5
TOTAL DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS	7	16	11	12	+ 5

COURT OF APPEALS CASELOAD REPORT - 1984

	Total Pend At BEGIN of Year	Added/ Transfr	Disposed of	Total Pend at END of Year	Difference Pend At begin & End - Number -
APPEALS BY TYPE:					
District Court - Civil					
a. Auto Negligence	0	0	0	0	0
b. Other Negligence	0	0	0	0	0
c. Tax Appeals	0	0	0	0	0
d. Domestic Relations	7	4	4	7	0
e. YRA/CPA	0	2	0	2	+ 2
f. Environment/Zoning	3	1	0	4	+ 1
g. All Other Civil	170	64	93	141	- 29
TOTAL DISTRICT COURT - CIVIL	180	71	97	154	- 26
District Court - Criminal					
a. Judgments	64	50	59	55	- 9
b. Post-Conviction Relief	3	5	1	7	+ 4
c. Habeas Corpus Appeals	0	4	0	4	+ 4
d. All other Criminal	21	16	18	19	- 2
TOTAL DISTRICT COURT - CRIMINAL	88	75	78	85	- 3
TOTAL APPEALS:	268	146	175	239	- 29

PETITIONS AND MOTIONS		
Extensions of Time	18	18
Motions to Dismiss	15	15
Petition for Rehearing	23	23
Other	146	140
TOTAL PETITIONS AND MOTIONS	202	196

CLS-400 IDAHO JUDICIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM

CASE FILINGS AND DISPOSITION SUMMARY

* STATEWIDE REPORT * JANUARY 1 THRU DECEMBER 31, 1984 STATE DC

* DISTRICT COURT * TYPE-OF-CASE DESCRIPTION.....	CASE FILINGS	* DISPOSITION TOTALS * (TRIAL / HEARING) WITHOUT	+ WITH	= TOTAL	CASES PENDING DEC 31
11 DOMESTIC RELATIONS	34	40	20	60	61
12 PERSONAL INJ / PROP. DAMAGE.	1,308	793	358	1,151	1,883
13 SUPPORT PROCEEDINGS	174	159	9	168	73
14 OTHER COMPLAINTS & PETITIONS	3,883	2,496	1,299	3,795	4,931
15 SMALL CLAIMS	7	2	1	3	4
** * TOTALS: CIVIL CASES.....	5,406	3,490	1,687	5,177	6,952
* DISTRICT COURT APPEALS *	418	175	233	408	286
* 21 FELONIES: DRUG	306	270	56	326	147
* 21 FELONIES: DWI / DUI.	62	127	25	152	43
* 21 FELONIES: ALL OTHER.	3,343	2,258	845	3,103	1,435
23 TRAFFIC MISD. (NON-DWI). . .	3	4		4	
24 MISDEMEANORS: DRUG	14	15		15	2
24 MISDEMEANORS: DWI / DUI. . .	3	3		3	
24 MISDEMEANORS: ALL OTHER. . .	137	128	15	143	10
25 TRAFFIC -INFRACTIONS-. . . .	1		1	1	
** * TOTALS: CRIMINAL CASES....	3,869	2,805	942	3,747	1,637
* DISTRICT COURT APPEALS *	178	122	68	190	77
30 Y.R.A. PROCEEDINGS: DRUG . .	0				
30 Y.R.A. PROCEEDINGS: DWI. . .	0				
30 Y.R.A. PROCEEDINGS: OTHER. .	0				
31 CHILD PROTECTIVE ACT PROC. .	0				
32 ADOPTION: TERM. PARENT PROC.	2	1	1	2	2
34 GUARDIANSHIP OF MINORS . . .	1	1	1	2	
35 GUARDIANSHIP- INCAP. PERSONS	2	2	1	3	
36 INFORMAL ESTATE PROCEEDINGS	2		2	2	
37 FORMAL ESTATE PROCEEDINGS .	1		1	1	
38 BAC: D.L. SUSPENSION PROC. .	0				
40 HABEAS CORPUS PROCEEDINGS .	31	14	21	35	18
41 ALL OTHER SPECIAL HEARINGS .	55	30	21	51	38
** * TOTALS: SPEC. PROCEEDINGS.	94	48	48	96	58
* DISTRICT COURT APPEALS *	82	27	30	57	60
** *** TOTALS: ALL CASES.....	9,369	6,343	2,677	9,020	8,647
** * DISTRICT COURT APPEALS *	678	324	331	655	423

* CAUTION: FELONY CASE TOTALS INCLUDE CASES PREVIOUSLY COUNTED AS FILINGS AND DISPOSITIONS ON THE MAGISTRATE DIVISION REPORT.

CLS-400 IDAHO JUDICIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM

CASE FILINGS AND DISPOSITION SUMMARY

* STATEWIDE REPORT * JANUARY 1 THRU DECEMBER 31, 1984 STATE MD

* MAGISTRATE DIVISION * TYPE-OF-CASE DESCRIPTION.....	CASE FILINGS	* DISPOSITION TOTALS * (TRIAL / HEARING) WITHOUT	+ WITH	= TOTAL	CASES PENDING DEC 31
11 DOMESTIC RELATIONS	8,875	1,868	6,921	8,789	3,988
12 PERSONAL INJ / PROP. DAMAGE.	421	370	71	441	408
13 SUPPORT PROCEEDINGS	4,952	3,323	1,931	5,254	1,675
14 OTHER COMPLAINTS & PETITIONS	16,482	13,949	2,498	16,447	10,022
15 SMALL CLAIMS	14,167	5,008	9,033	14,041	3,834
** * TOTALS: CIVIL CASES.....	44,897	24,518	20,454	44,972	19,927
21 FELONIES: DRUG	451	296	206	502	76
21 FELONIES: DWI / DUI.	90	108	41	149	21
21 FELONIES: ALL OTHER.	4,675	3,434	1,315	4,749	1,728
23 TRAFFIC MISD. (NON-DWI). . .	69,158	64,031	4,114	68,145	5,074
24 MISDEMEANORS: DRUG	1,147	1,015	145	1,160	314
24 MISDEMEANORS: DWI / DUI. . .	12,386	9,950	1,016	10,966	4,640
24 MISDEMEANORS: ALL OTHER. . .	32,418	27,252	4,122	31,374	7,239
25 TRAFFIC -INFRACTIONS-. . . .	129,995	126,512	3,223	129,735	1,166
** * TOTALS: CRIMINAL CASES....	250,320	232,598	14,182	246,780	20,258
30 Y.R.A. PROCEEDINGS: DRUG . .	9	4	6	10	2
30 Y.R.A. PROCEEDINGS: DWI. . .	3		4	4	
30 Y.R.A. PROCEEDINGS: OTHER. .	5,054	1,677	3,448	5,125	1,617
31 CHILD PROTECTIVE ACT PROC. .	604	124	498	622	178
32 ADOPTION: TERM. PARENT PROC.	971	83	892	975	360
34 GUARDIANSHIP OF MINORS . . .	241	53	165	218	153
35 GUARDIANSHIP- INCAP. PERSONS	273	62	228	290	171
36 INFORMAL ESTATE PROCEEDINGS	3,454	1,965	1,759	3,724	1,526
37 FORMAL ESTATE PROCEEDINGS .	512	162	436	598	167
38 BAC: D.L. SUSPENSION PROC. .	1,710	1,185	523	1,708	84
40 HABEAS CORPUS PROCEEDINGS .	195	130	56	186	58
41 ALL OTHER SPECIAL HEARINGS .	864	247	595	842	245
** * TOTALS: SPEC. PROCEEDINGS.	13,890	5,692	8,610	14,302	4,561
** *** TOTALS: ALL CASES.....	309,107	262,808	43,246	306,054	44,746

CLS-400 IDAHO JUDICIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM

DISTRICT 1 CASE FILINGS AND DISPOSITION SUMMARY

DISTRICT RECAP REPORT JANUARY 1 THRU DECEMBER 31, 1984 1-** DC

* DISTRICT COURT * TYPE-OF-CASE DESCRIPTION.....	CASE FILINGS	* DISPOSITION TOTALS * (TRIAL / HEARING) * WITHOUT + WITH = TOTAL			CASES PENDING DEC 31
11 DOMESTIC RELATIONS	3	2	1	3	4
12 PERSONAL INJ / PROP. DAMAGE.	264	90	48	138	412
13 SUPPORT PROCEEDINGS	3	9	2	11	2
14 OTHER COMPLAINTS & PETITIONS	441	330	135	465	740
15 SMALL CLAIMS	1				1
** * TOTALS: CIVIL CASES.....	712	431	186	617	1,159
* DISTRICT COURT APPEALS *	42	20	23	43	38
* 21 FELONIES: DRUG	62	43	16	59	34
* 21 FELONIES: DWI / DUI. . . .	14	29	2	31	15
* 21 FELONIES: ALL OTHER. . . .	329	200	75	275	179
23 TRAFFIC MISD. (NON-DWI). . .	0				
24 MISDEMEANORS: DRUG	3	3		3	
24 MISDEMEANORS: DWI / DUI. . .	1	1		1	
24 MISDEMEANORS: ALL OTHER. . .	13	14	1	15	1
25 TRAFFIC -INFRACTIONS-. . . .	0				
** * TOTALS: CRIMINAL CASES....	422	290	94	384	229
* DISTRICT COURT APPEALS *	13	6	3	9	10
30 Y.R.A. PROCEEDINGS: DRUG . .	0				
30 Y.R.A. PROCEEDINGS: DWI. . .	0				
30 Y.R.A. PROCEEDINGS: OTHER. .	0				
31 CHILD PROTECTIVE ACT PROC. .	0				
32 ADOPTION: TERM. PARENT PROC.	0				
34 GUARDIANSHIP OF MINORS . . .	1	1	1	2	
35 GUARDIANSHIP- INCAP. PERSONS	2	2	1	3	
36 INFORMAL ESTATE PROCEEDINGS	0				
37 FORMAL ESTATE PROCEEDINGS .	1		1	1	
38 BAC: D.L. SUSPENSION PROC. .	0				
40 HABEAS CORPUS PROCEEDINGS .	5	4	2	6	3
41 ALL OTHER SPECIAL HEARINGS .	2	1	1	2	3
** * TOTALS: SPEC. PROCEEDINGS.	11	8	6	14	6
* DISTRICT COURT APPEALS *	14	3	4	7	10
** *** TOTALS: ALL CASES.....	1,145	729	286	1,015	1,394
** * DISTRICT COURT APPEALS *	69	29	30	59	58

* CAUTION: FELONY CASE TOTALS INCLUDE CASES PREVIOUSLY COUNTED AS FILINGS AND DISPOSITIONS ON THE MAGISTRATE DIVISION REPORT.

CLS-400 IDAHO JUDICIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM

DISTRICT 2 CASE FILINGS AND DISPOSITION SUMMARY

DISTRICT RECAP REPORT JANUARY 1 THRU DECEMBER 31, 1984 2-** DC

* DISTRICT COURT * TYPE-OF-CASE DESCRIPTION.....	CASE FILINGS	* DISPOSITION TOTALS * * (TRIAL / HEARING) * WITHOUT	WITH	= TOTAL	CASES PENDING DEC 31
11 DOMESTIC RELATIONS	1		2	2	1
12 PERSONAL INJ / PROP. DAMAGE.	99	38	36	74	178
13 SUPPORT PROCEEDINGS	1	1		1	
14 OTHER COMPLAINTS & PETITIONS	340	72	139	211	431
15 SMALL CLAIMS	0				
** * TOTALS: CIVIL CASES.....	441	111	177	288	610
* DISTRICT COURT APPEALS *	22	2	17	19	12
* 21 FELONIES: DRUG	30	26	6	32	4
* 21 FELONIES: DWI / DUI.	9	8	4	12	1
* 21 FELONIES: ALL OTHER.	277	196	73	269	55
23 TRAFFIC MISD. (NON-DWI). . .	0				
24 MISDEMEANORS: DRUG	6	6		6	
24 MISDEMEANORS: DWI / DUI. . .	1	1		1	
24 MISDEMEANORS: ALL OTHER. . .	27	25	2	27	2
25 TRAFFIC -INFRACTIONS-. . . .	0				
** * TOTALS: CRIMINAL CASES....	350	262	85	347	62
* DISTRICT COURT APPEALS *	2		4	4	1
30 Y.R.A. PROCEEDINGS: DRUG . .	0				
30 Y.R.A. PROCEEDINGS: DWI. . .	0				
30 Y.R.A. PROCEEDINGS: OTHER. .	0				
31 CHILD PROTECTIVE ACT PROC. .	0				
32 ADOPTION: TERM. PARENT PROC.	0		1	1	
34 GUARDIANSHIP OF MINORS . . .	0				
35 GUARDIANSHIP- INCAP. PERSONS	0				
36 INFORMAL ESTATE PROCEEDINGS	0				
37 FORMAL ESTATE PROCEEDINGS .	0				
38 BAC: D.L. SUSPENSION PROC. .	0				
40 HABEAS CORPUS PROCEEDINGS .	3		2	2	1
41 ALL OTHER SPECIAL HEARINGS .	16	8	4	12	20
** * TOTALS: SPEC. PROCEEDINGS.	19	8	7	15	21
* DISTRICT COURT APPEALS *	5	1	3	4	4
** *** TOTALS: ALL CASES.....	810	381	269	650	693
** * DISTRICT COURT APPEALS *	29	3	24	27	17

* CAUTION: FELONY CASE TOTALS INCLUDE CASES PREVIOUSLY COUNTED AS FILINGS AND DISPOSITIONS ON THE MAGISTRATE DIVISION REPORT.

CLS-400 IDAHO JUDICIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM

DISTRICT 3 CASE FILINGS AND DISPOSITION SUMMARY

DISTRICT RECAP REPORT JANUARY 1 THRU DECEMBER 31, 1984 3-** DC

* DISTRICT COURT * TYPE-OF-CASE DESCRIPTION.....	CASE FILINGS	* DISPOSITION TOTALS * (TRIAL / HEARING) WITHOUT	+ WITH	= TOTAL	CASES PENDING DEC 31
11 DOMESTIC RELATIONS	1				1
12 PERSONAL INJ / PROP. DAMAGE.	129	99	45	144	177
13 SUPPORT PROCEEDINGS	0				
14 OTHER COMPLAINTS & PETITIONS	536	428	153	581	570
15 SMALL CLAIMS	1		1	1	
** * TOTALS: CIVIL CASES.....	667	527	199	726	748
* DISTRICT COURT APPEALS *	67	30	41	71	33
* 21 FELONIES: DRUG	17	11	2	13	12
* 21 FELONIES: DWI / DUI.	2	5		5	2
* 21 FELONIES: ALL OTHER.	461	331	136	467	217
23 TRAFFIC MISD. (NON-DWI). . .	1	1		1	
24 MISDEMEANORS: DRUG	2	2		2	
24 MISDEMEANORS: DWI / DUI. . .	0				
24 MISDEMEANORS: ALL OTHER. . .	31	29	2	31	2
25 TRAFFIC -INFRACTIONS-. . . .	0				
** * TOTALS: CRIMINAL CASES....	514	379	140	519	233
* DISTRICT COURT APPEALS *	31	33	13	46	8
30 Y.R.A. PROCEEDINGS: DRUG . .	0				
30 Y.R.A. PROCEEDINGS: DWI. . .	0				
30 Y.R.A. PROCEEDINGS: OTHER. .	0				
31 CHILD PROTECTIVE ACT PROC. .	0				
32 ADOPTION: TERM. PARENT PROC.	0	1		1	
34 GUARDIANSHIP OF MINORS . . .	0				
35 GUARDIANSHIP- INCAP. PERSONS	0				
36 INFORMAL ESTATE PROCEEDINGS	0				
37 FORMAL ESTATE PROCEEDINGS .	0				
38 BAC: D.L. SUSPENSION PROC. .	0				
40 HABEAS CORPUS PROCEEDINGS .	7	2	5	7	1
41 ALL OTHER SPECIAL HEARINGS .	23	14	5	19	10
** * TOTALS: SPEC. PROCEEDINGS.	30	17	10	27	11
* DISTRICT COURT APPEALS *	10	3	2	5	9
** *** TOTALS: ALL CASES.....	1,211	923	349	1,272	992
** * DISTRICT COURT APPEALS *	108	66	56	122	50

* CAUTION: FELONY CASE TOTALS INCLUDE CASES PREVIOUSLY COUNTED AS FILINGS AND DISPOSITIONS ON THE MAGISTRATE DIVISION REPORT.

CLS-400 IDAHO JUDICIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM

DISTRICT 4 CASE FILINGS AND DISPOSITION SUMMARY

DISTRICT RECAP REPORT JANUARY 1 THRU DECEMBER 31, 1984 4-** DC

* DISTRICT COURT * TYPE-OF-CASE DESCRIPTION.....	CASE FILINGS	* DISPOSITION TOTALS * (TRIAL / HEARING) * WITHOUT	+ WITH	= TOTAL	CASES PENDING DEC 31
11 DOMESTIC RELATIONS	5		4	4	3
12 PERSONAL INJ / PROP. DAMAGE.	264	191	81	272	363
13 SUPPORT PROCEEDINGS	5	5	2	7	3
14 OTHER COMPLAINTS & PETITIONS	963	638	390	1,028	1,112
15 SMALL CLAIMS	0				
** * TOTALS: CIVIL CASES.....	1,237	834	477	1,311	1,481
* DISTRICT COURT APPEALS *	123	51	80	131	85
* 21 FELONIES: DRUG	90	93	12	105	35
* 21 FELONIES: DWI / DUI. . . .	16	41	12	53	15
* 21 FELONIES: ALL OTHER. . . .	1,067	572	316	888	445
23 TRAFFIC MISD. (NON-DWI). . .	0	1		1	
24 MISDEMEANORS: DRUG	1				1
24 MISDEMEANORS: DWI / DUI. . .	0				
24 MISDEMEANORS: ALL OTHER. . .	10	7	2	9	2
25 TRAFFIC -INFRACTIONS-. . . .	0				
** * TOTALS: CRIMINAL CASES....	1,184	714	342	1,056	498
* DISTRICT COURT APPEALS *	72	41	23	64	27
30 Y.R.A. PROCEEDINGS: DRUG . .	0				
30 Y.R.A. PROCEEDINGS: DWI. . .	0				
30 Y.R.A. PROCEEDINGS: OTHER. .	0				
31 CHILD PROTECTIVE ACT PROC. .	0				
32 ADOPTION: TERM. PARENT PROC.	0				
34 GUARDIANSHIP OF MINORS . . .	0				
35 GUARDIANSHIP- INCAP. PERSONS	0				
36 INFORMAL ESTATE PROCEEDINGS	0				
37 FORMAL ESTATE PROCEEDINGS .	0				
38 BAC: D.L. SUSPENSION PROC. .	0				
40 HABEAS CORPUS PROCEEDINGS .	6	4	6	10	8
41 ALL OTHER SPECIAL HEARINGS .	1	1		1	
** * TOTALS: SPEC. PROCEEDINGS.	7	5	6	11	8
* DISTRICT COURT APPEALS *	38	9	14	23	25
** *** TOTALS: ALL CASES.....	2,428	1,553	825	2,378	1,987
** * DISTRICT COURT APPEALS *	233	101	117	218	137

* CAUTION: FELONY CASE TOTALS INCLUDE CASES PREVIOUSLY COUNTED AS FILINGS AND DISPOSITIONS ON THE MAGISTRATE DIVISION REPORT.

CLS-400 IDAHO JUDICIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM

DISTRICT 5 CASE FILINGS AND DISPOSITION SUMMARY

DISTRICT RECAP REPORT JANUARY 1 THRU DECEMBER 31, 1984 5-** DC

* DISTRICT COURT * TYPE-OF-CASE DESCRIPTION.....	CASE FILINGS	* DISPOSITION TOTALS * (TRIAL / HEARING) WITHOUT	+ WITH	= TOTAL	CASES PENDING DEC 31
11 DOMESTIC RELATIONS	8	19	5	24	5
12 PERSONAL INJ / PROP. DAMAGE.	230	144	48	192	293
13 SUPPORT PROCEEDINGS	3		2	2	4
14 OTHER COMPLAINTS & PETITIONS	558	345	191	536	690
15 SMALL CLAIMS	1	1		1	
** * TOTALS: CIVIL CASES.....	800	509	246	755	992
* DISTRICT COURT APPEALS *	60	20	27	47	39
* 21 FELONIES: DRUG	44	32	13	45	25
* 21 FELONIES: DWI / DUI.	10	19	3	22	7
* 21 FELONIES: ALL OTHER.	587	374	185	559	212
23 TRAFFIC MISD. (NON-DWI). . .	0				
24 MISDEMEANORS: DRUG	1	1		1	1
24 MISDEMEANORS: DWI / DUI. . .	1	1		1	
24 MISDEMEANORS: ALL OTHER. . .	25	21	4	25	1
25 TRAFFIC -INFRACTIONS-. . . .	0				
** * TOTALS: CRIMINAL CASES....	668	448	205	653	246
* DISTRICT COURT APPEALS *	21	13	9	22	10
30 Y.R.A. PROCEEDINGS: DRUG . .	0				
30 Y.R.A. PROCEEDINGS: DWI. . .	0				
30 Y.R.A. PROCEEDINGS: OTHER. .	0				
31 CHILD PROTECTIVE ACT PROC. .	0				
32 ADOPTION: TERM. PARENT PROC.	1				1
34 GUARDIANSHIP OF MINORS . . .	0				
35 GUARDIANSHIP- INCAP. PERSONS	0				
36 INFORMAL ESTATE PROCEEDINGS	0				
37 FORMAL ESTATE PROCEEDINGS .	0				
38 BAC: D.L. SUSPENSION PROC. .	0				
40 HABEAS CORPUS PROCEEDINGS .	4	3	2	5	3
41 ALL OTHER SPECIAL HEARINGS .	11	2	8	10	5
** * TOTALS: SPEC. PROCEEDINGS.	16	5	10	15	9
* DISTRICT COURT APPEALS *	5	3	2	5	4
** *** TOTALS: ALL CASES.....	1,484	962	461	1,423	1,247
** * DISTRICT COURT APPEALS *	86	36	38	74	53

* CAUTION: FELONY CASE TOTALS INCLUDE CASES PREVIOUSLY COUNTED AS FILINGS AND DISPOSITIONS ON THE MAGISTRATE DIVISION REPORT.